Georges Aussedat
UNPG

The 2700 French quarries are submitted to a specific regulation: RGIE

"Réglement Général de l'Industrie Extractive"

RGIE has 11 titles

General rules Working equipments

Noise Explosives

Electricity Ionizing radiations

Dust Working and walking up in

height

Vehicle on track

**External contractors** 

Individual protection equipments



#### And will have a twelfth one:

General rules

Noise

**Electricity** 

Dust

External contractors

Individual protection equipments

Working equipments

**Explosives** 

Ionizing radiations

Working and walking up in height

Vehicle on track

**Exposure to vibrations** 

General rules

Noise

**Electricity** 

**Dust** 

External contractors

Individual protection equipments

Working equipments

**Explosives** 

**Ionizing radiations** 

Working and walking up in height

Vehicle on track

Exposure to vibrations

Dust

3 objectives:

To reduce the dust emission during materials quarrying, processing and transport

To make the workers aware of the prevention of risk linked to the dust inhalation and to the effects on their health

To implement the good practices



#### Dust

When the respirable dust contains more than 1 % silica, it is compulsory:

To check every 2 years, once in summer, once in winter, and to report in a medical file the

exposure of each job to crystalline silica dust

< 0,25 mg/m<sup>3</sup> classe 3

< 0,125 mg/m<sup>3</sup> classe 2

< 0,0625 mg/m<sup>3</sup> classe 1



#### Dust

These objectives meet the objectives of the **NePSI** 

But are missing and are presently presented by UNPG to its members, especially the little ones:

- ⇒ the specific risk management at the level of each site and of the staff himself;
- ⇒ the specific **reporting** at the level of each site, of each firm and of the UNPG itself.

#### RGIE

General rules

Noise

**Electricity** 

Dust

External contractors

Individual protection equipments

Working equipments

**Explosives** 

**Ionizing radiations** 

Working and walking up in height

Vehicle on track

**Exposure to vibrations** 



Exposure to vibrations

The directive 2002/44/EC on the minimum health and safety requirements regarding the exposure of workers to whole body vibrations

will be next July the twelfth title of RGIE.

How to explain and implement it in each quarry and on each building site?

Exposure to vibrations

The EU Good practice Guide on Whole body vibrations is usefull but its 64 pages are hard to read and to implement in the medium and little sites

The Caterpillar pocket Guide is more adapted to medium size companies



### Exposure to vibrations

UNPG and the french contractors association (FNTP) decided to publish a little 8 pages booklet, with an adapted method for:

- ⇒ assessing the exposure to vibrations A(8) on each machine;
- ⇒ Helping to decide what to do for reducing it.



### Exposure to vibrations

- In 2004 UNPG asked PREVENCEM (a body for prevention in quarries) and INRS (french industrial health institute) to start vibration measurement in quarries
- End 2006 more than 500 results obtained in quarries and building sites were available.
- They were introduced in the technical report ISO TR 25398: 2006

#### Vibration measurement results

Machine family	Mean equivalent acceleration
	a <sub>eq</sub> m/s <sup>2</sup>
Wheel scrapper	1,2
Articulated dumper	0,95
Rigid dumper	0,85
Wheel loader	0,9
Wheel excavator	0,65

### Exposure to vibrations

- a Equivalent acceleration a<sub>eq</sub> estimation
- Retain the mean equivalent acceleration a<sub>eq</sub> given for the machine
- Add a scenario factor of 0,25 m/s2 if the machine works in bad conditions: at least 2 of the 6 following items are pointed out.

#### The 6 bad conditions items

- 1. The machine moves on damaged tracks (potholes)
- No speed limit signs in damaged areas of the track
- 3. The size of handled particles > 1 m
- 4. Seat not conforming to ISO 7096: 2000
- Seat damaged or more 4 years old without any check
- Driver not specifically trained

a - Equivalent acceleration a<sub>eq</sub> estimation

 If the machine works in good conditions substract a scenario factor of 0,25 m/s<sup>2</sup> from the mean equivalent acceleration a<sub>eq</sub> given for the machine



### **b** –Working time T evaluation

 The working time T is evaluated by substracting the vibration breaks during the working day



c – P<sub>E</sub> Exposure points determination

P<sub>E</sub> Exposure points are deducted from a<sub>eq</sub> and
 T with the aid of the following chart



### PE Exposition points

Equivalent acceleration  $a_{eq}$  m/s<sup>2</sup>

	m			Worki						
	15	30 m	1 h	2h	3h	4 h	5 h	6 h	7 h	8 h
0.2	1	1	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16
0.3	1	2	5	9	14	18	23	27	32	36
0.4	2	4	8	16	24	32	40	48	56	64
0.5	3	6	13	25	38	50	63	75	88	100
0.55	4	8	15	30	45	61	76	91	106	121
0.6	5	9	18	36	54	72	90	108	126	144
0.65	5	11	21	42	63	85	106	126	147	169
0.7	6	12	25	49	74	98	125	145	170	195
0.75	7	14	28	56	84	113	141	168	196	225
0.8	8	16	32	64	96	130	160	190	222	255
0.85	9	18	36	72	108	145	181	217	253	289
0.9	10	20	41	81	122	162	203	243	284	324
0.95	12	23	45	90	135	181	226	271	116	361
1	13	25	50	100	150	200	250	300	350	400
1 .05	14	28	55	110	165	221	276	331	386	441
1.1	15	30	61	121	182	242	303	363	424	484
1.15	17	33	66	132	198	265	331	397	463	529
1.2	18	36	72	144	216	288	360	432	504	576
1.25	19	39	78	156	234	313	391	469	547	625
1.3	21	42	85	169	254	338	423	507	592	676
1.35	23	46	91	182	273	365	456	547	638	729
1.4	25	49	98	196	294	392	490	588	686	784
1.45	27	53	105	210	315	421	526	631	736	841
1.5	28	56	113	225	338	450	563	675	788	900

**Working time T** 



c – P<sub>E</sub> Exposure points determination

For example: a rigid dumper moves 6 hours a day on

- damaged tracks
- with big particles > 1 m
- His seat is 6 years old without any check
- The driver is not specifically trained

$$a_{eq} = 0.85 + 0.25 = 1.10 \text{ m/s}^2$$

$$T = 6 h$$



### PE Exposition points

Equivalent acceleration  $a_{eq}$  m/s<sup>2</sup>

**P**<sub>Etot</sub> =363

					Worki	na tir	ne T				
		15 m	30 m	1 h	2h	3h	4 h	5 h	6 h	7 h	8 h
	0.2	1	1	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16
	0.3	1	2	5	9	14	18	23	27	32	36
	0.4	2	4	8	16	24	32	40	48	56	64
	0.5	3	6	13	25	38	50	63	75	88	100
	0.55	4	8	15	30	45	61	76	91	106	121
	0.6	5	9	18	36	54	72	90	108	126	144
	0.65	5	11	21	42	63	85	106	126	147	169
	0.7	6	12	25	49	74	98	125	145	170	195
	0.75	7	14	28	56	84	113	141	168	196	225
	8.0	8	16	32	64	96	130	160	190	222	255
	0.85	9	18	36	72	108	145	181	217	253	289
ו –	0.9	10	20	41	81	122	162	203	243	284	324
	0.95	12	23	45	90	135	181	226	271	116	361
	1	13	25	50	100	150	200	250	300	350	400
	1 .05	14	28	55	110	165	221	276	331	386	441
	1.1	15	30	61	121	182	<del>242</del>	303	363	424	484
	1.15	17	33	66	132	198	265	331	397	463	529
	1.2	18	36	72	144	216	288	360	432	504	576
	1.25	19	39	78	156	234	313	391	469	547	625
	1.3	21	42	85	169	254	338	423	507	592	676
	1.35	23	46	91	182	273	365	456	547	638	729
	1.4	25	49	98	196	294	392	490	588	686	784
-	1.45	27	53	105	210	315	421	526	631	736	841
-	1.5	28	56	113	225	338	450	563	675	788	900

- e Daily exposure evaluation
- Compare P<sub>Etot</sub> to :
  - the action value: 100 points (0,5 m/s<sup>2</sup>)
  - the limit value :529 points (1,15 m/s<sup>2</sup>)
- Interpolate P<sub>Etot</sub> in the column 8h of the chart and read the total daily exposure A(8) in m/s<sup>2</sup>



### Assessed exposure to vibrations on that rigid dumper:

169

254

338

423

507

85

42

30 m

1 h

2h

	1.25	19	39	78	156	234	313	391	469	547	625
	1.2	18	36	72	144	216	288	360	432	504	576
	1.15	17	33	66	132	198	265	331	397	463	529
	1.1	15	30	61	121	182	242	303	363	424	484
	1 .05	14	28	55	110	165	221	276	331	386	441
	1	13	25	50	100	150	200	250	300	350	400
<b>1</b>	0.95	<del>12</del>	23	45	90	135	181	226	271	116	361
	0.9	10	20	41	81	122	162	203	243	284	324
	0.85	9	18	36	72	108	145	181	217	253	289
	8.0	8	16	32	64	96	130	160	190	222	255
	0.75	7	14	28	56	84	113	141	168	196	225
	0.7	6	12	25	49	74	98	125	145	170	195
	0.65	5	11	21	42	63	85	106	126	147	169
	0.6	5	9	18	36	54	72	90	108	126	144
	0.55	4	8	15	30	45	61	76	91	106	121
	0.5	3	6	13	25	38	50	63	75	88	100
	0.4	2	4	8	16	24	32	40	48	56	64
	0.3	1	2	5	9	14	18	23	27	32	36
	0.2	1	1	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16

Equivalent acceleration  $a_{eq}$  m/s<sup>2</sup>

1.3

 $A(8) = 0.95 \text{ m/s}^2$ 

**Working time T** 

3h

4 h

5 h

6 h

8 h

7 h

638

592

676

### What to do for reducing it

- Repair and further maintain the tracks
- Reduce the particle size by better controling the blast
- Check the seat and eventually change it
- The driver is specifically trained

$$a_{eq} = 0.85 - 0.25 = 0.6 \text{ m/s}^2$$

$$T = 6 h$$



### PE **Exposition** points

**Equivalent** 

1.5

1.45

acceleration a<sub>eq</sub> m/s<sup>2</sup>

1.3 1.25 1.2 1.15 1.1 1.05 0.95 0.9 0.85 8.0 0.75 0.7 0.65 0.6 0.55 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 1 h 2h 4 h 5 h 30 m 3h 6 h 7 h 8 h m

 $P_{Etot} = 108$ 

**Working time T** 

### Assessed exposure to vibrations on that rigid dumper:

Equ	ıiva	lent
acce	lera	atio
	$a_{e}$	q_
	a <sub>e</sub> m/s	s <sup>2</sup>

1.35	23	46	91	182	273	365	456	547	638	729
1.3	21	42	85	169	254	338	423	507	592	676
1.25	19	39	78	156	234	313	391	469	547	625
1.2	18	36	72	144	216	288	360	432	504	576
1.15	17	33	66	132	198	265	331	397	463	529
1.1	15	30	61	121	182	242	303	363	424	484
1 .05	14	28	55	110	165	221	276	331	386	441
1	13	25	50	100	150	200	250	300	350	400
0.95	12	23	45	90	135	181	226	271	116	361
0.9	10	20	41	81	122	162	203	243	284	324
0.85	9	18	36	72	108	145	181	217	253	289
8.0	8	16	32	64	96	130	160	190	222	255
0.75	7	14	28	56	84	113	141	168	196	225
0.7	6	12	25	49	74	98	125	145	170	195
0.65	5	11	21	42	63	85	106	126	147	169
0.6	5	9	18	36	54	72	90	108	126	144
0.55	4	8	15	30	45	61	76	91	106	121
0.5	3	б	13	25	38	<del>50</del>	63	75	88	<b>↑</b> 100
0.4	2	4	8	16	24	32	40	48	56	64
0.3	1	2	5	9	14	18	23	27	32	36
0.2	1	1	2	4	6	8	10	12	14	16
	15	30 m	1 h	2h	3h	4 h	5 h	6 h	7 h	8 h
	m					<u> </u>				

 $A(8) = 0.5 \text{ m/s}^2$ 

**Working time T** 

Conclusions

Till now the safe and safety in french quarries is a matter of regulations (the 12 titles of RGIE)

The results are as follows ....



#### Conclusions

		2004	2005
Industrial injuries	1043	938	
Severely injured p	13	20	
<b>Fatalities</b>	6	4	
Frequency rate	Massive rocks	41	36
riequency rate			
	Sand and gravel	<b>29</b>	30

#### Conclusions

A new policy of continuous improvement using social dialogue and good practice sharing is already implemented in the great groups with some results

The programme of UNPG is to diffuse that way of working to its more little members

The 2 UNPG actions about dust and vibration exposure are 2 examples of that new approach.